



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/594,905

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	10

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Marianne L. Padgett (3) _____
(2) Gary Abelev (4) _____

Date of interview 6/5/03

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: those of record, particularly claim 1

Identification of prior art discussed: art of record generally

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed a proposal to

put -- depending on the amount of the excited burn-releasing gas product -- after "reactor" in line 9
of claim 1. While it would positively relate the determining and selecting steps, it would create a
new issue for discussion, without providing any significant concept to the claims, as how the choice of min. or

max values depends on the one measurement is not defined, and the values can still be anything above 0. Applicant noted the
importance of feed back, but only 1 determination is required and it may set the value for the entire deposition. While
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be
attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
Further obviousness arguments may be required for such an amendment, the examiner believed the initial basis for "depending" may

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS
NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the
last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.
be found in the present rejection/arguments as both monitoring the reaction parameters and controlling the course of the process are taught,

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
the implications for the obviousness of tying parameters and measurements together is set forth.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

MARIANNE PADGETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marianne L. Padgett
Examiner's Signature